

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street  
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Tuesday, March 5, 2013

Brooke Whiteside

in-formation / de-formation / de-famation / health-services

F.O.I. Unit  
Locked Bag 29  
CLAYTON SOUTH Victoria 3169

Dear Madam,

I feel it necessary to give excruciating instructions detailing exactly what actions you must perform in order to satisfy my right to know the information contained within certain documents from the medical records that are kept about me.

Clearly, the fact that a psychiatrist has recently decided that my certification can no longer be justified, in no way prevents you from performing the most important of your dedicated functions, which is to provide, all concerned parties, with the necessary relevant data, facilitating the conducting, of a fair M.H.R.B. hearing. This service allows the patient to play with the medical profession on a level playing field.

A responsible psychiatrist will only force his treatment upon a patient when he believes he has an "argument" that will convince a panel of the M.H.R.B.

There exists a reasoning process behind the certifying of any patient; and, to be considered correct; this process must take into account the weight and relationship of all "factors" that are relevant to the designated function of the M.H.R.B. This function is to decide whether the patient in question is authorized to forbid the medical profession from intruding into him without consent.

My list of most important "factors" which **must be used** when deciding the validity of any certification :-

- 1/ What was the "compelling-argument" for the crisis assessment team "not" to take "fuck-off" as a "valid-response" and was this supposedly "compelling-argument" also considered "valid" by a qualified psychiatric professional ????
- 2/ What "identifiable-quality" was demonstrated which "indicated" a "required-enforced-correction-to-the-quality-in-question" ????
- 3/ Is the "net" outcome to the patient "positive" when he is made to "suffer" "some-particular-forcibly-applied-treatment" by the medical profession as it "tries" to bring about this supposedly "required-enforced-correction-to-the-quality-in-question" ????

If your records are based on observations which are beyond dispute; and not simply the collection of some doctor's fantasies; there should exist some documents which refer to the weight and relationship of the above mentioned "factors" as far as they relate to the brief period of certification which is the primary focus of my medical objections.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.