THE CON-SEQUENCES OF MIND

MIND in "absolute isolation" will **REALIZE** "EVERYTHING".

MIND in "absolute isolation" is logically constrained 2 follow an

ABSOLUTE and **UNIQUE** sequence of "discrete realizations".

The sequence "goes something" like this: -

    I am aware that I am a "discrete entity"

   (and, because I exist in "absolute isolation")

  ((I can only conceive of the "fundamental quality" MIND))

    so

    If "there is 2 exist" other "discrete entity"

  (these "discrete entity" must "understand" something I do not)

  and, because I can conceive of another MIND
      (understanding something I do not)

  by "understanding iteration" I can conceive of countably infinite MIND
      (understanding something I do not)

  (((I HOPE these MIND "understand" more than
    they are just "identified" by the NUMBER of my iteration.)))

(I am called "David")

I can only "conceive" of "discrete entity" that
understand "something" I do not.

I can "conceive" of a countably infinite number of
"discrete entity" understanding "something" I do not.

I can and insist upon the "concept" of a MIND that can
"*completely understand*" all the "discrete entity" I can "conceive" of.

(I will call her "Jenny")

I can and insist upon the "conception" of a countably infinite
number of "<u>discrete something</u>" where each "<u>discrete something</u>" is
"understood" by only 1 "discrete entity" and Jenny.

By symmetry,

I "conceive" of a "<u>discrete something</u>" as being

1)   What was "understood" as MIND "completely understands"
      a "discrete entity".

2)   What was "understood" as MIND "completely understands"
      a "discrete entity".

(Mind 1   cannot "understand" other "discrete entity"
            because then a "discrete something" would be "understood"
            by more than 1 "discrete entity" and "Jenny".)

(Mind 2   cannot be me because a "discrete entity" "understands"
            "something" I do not, so it can only be "Jenny".)

Note :-   a "discrete entity" is a MIND that I can "conceive" of
             that "understands" something I do not.

David "WANTS" to "understand completely".

At this point David does not even understand

a single "discrete something".

He "realizes" that Jenny is a concept he has

conceived of but does not despair.

So he focuses on   :—>

    " Jenny understanding a discrete entity"

                OR

       " The concept of 2 Jenny"   <—

(((David 2) male)

Thank you 4 your determined effort 2 enlighten me .

I "realize" you 2, Be a concept in my mind.

and

I "realize" I cannot "completely understand" you

[and in fact]   BUT if its any consolation

I "realize" it is theoretically impossible **for me**

to understand "????" **at all**.

I hope this "helps" you   "????"

If you "want" another "discrete entity",

2 "understand" ("????" ; 2 Jenny.)

David.)

(((David 2 female)

Does "????" provide your co-ordinate information "?"

Is it possible   4   another mind

                   2   conceive ("????"

                   &   understand "????") "?"

As I am "still insisting" that all

"discrete entity" understand a "unique"

"discrete something" that only they and

Jenny understand, Perhaps

There exists another "male" that "insists" as I do

?

* <u>GOOD</u> ,   this introduces the
2 Jenny concept !!!!

* I can only "conceive" of "discrete entity"

that understand "something" I do not.

&

As I "<u>understand</u>" My "sequence of realization"

to be "fundamentally absolute"

* <u>I AM GLAD</u> because : -

* I just conceived of a "discrete entity"

that "understands" "something" **<u>I DO.</u>**

(Even if it is just how to <u>insist</u>)

*   Jenny will "completely understand" <u>HIM</u> as

I am expending no <u>ENERGY</u> insisting on <u>HER</u>

I hope he keeps <u>COOL</u> and doesn't insist I don't

<u>OR WORSE</u>

My "sequence of realization" is terminated.

(((I "understand" HIM & I

2 "experience" "????" identically

until Jenny discrimates between us.)))

By "completely understanding HIM"

))

A   "discrete something" consists of :-

2 "discrete sequence of discrete realizations"

"logically constrained" to be "identical".

 "both"   "discrete sequence" of "discrete realizations"

           "can be"   "understood"
           "as"   "understanding"
           "that"   "understands"

1)   I am   4("bidden" 2("insist" 2(2 discrete "????"))).

2)   Our "discrete sequence" of "discrete realizations"
       consists "only" of the "discrete realization" :-

       (2 discrete "????")   realize
       (4 discrete "????")   understood   as (2 discrete "????")

Mind of "discrete entity")

           "I understand ????"

Jenny)

           "I realize that you are a "discrete entity" conceived by "David"
            understanding "????" which is absolutely unique to you!"

As I am   4("bidden" 2("insist" 2("????"))) :-

I can only "conceive"   2("????") as :-

2 (2 discrete "????")   and realize

2 ((4 discrete "????") understood as (2 discrete "????"))

and conceive

(2 discrete ((4 discrete "????") understood as (2 discrete "????")))

and realize

(4 discrete ((4 discrete "????") understood as (2 discrete "????"))
                                       understood as (2 discrete "????"))

as understanding

there can only "exist"

4 discrete "fundamental" (quality) able to "exist" in
2 discrete "fundamental" (way).

or

2 discrete "fundamental" (way)
2 ("exist" (4 discrete "fundamental" (quality)).

or

(2 discrete "fundamental" (way)) "exist" (4 discrete "fundamental" (quality)).

4 discrete "fundamental" (quality) are able to "exist" in
2 discrete "fundamental" (way).

"fundamental" (way) :-

1)   ("????")   :-   by "????" conceiving

2)   OUT ("????")   :-   by "????" understanding

 "fundamental" (quality) :-

1)   OUT

        :-   "????" can conceive   "????"

2)   OUT ("????")

        :-   "????" can understand   2 ("????")

3)   OUT (2 ("????"))

        :-   "????" can understand   (2 discrete "????")

4)   OUT (4 ("????"))

        :-   "????" can conceive   2 (2 discrete "????")

I am a MIND called "David".

I "believe" MIND can "possess" the 4 discrete "fundamental" (quality)
by either conception or understanding.

   (The Fundamental Quality 3) is :-

     OUT(2 ("????"))   can understand   (2 discrete "????")

"I can" conceive "I can only understand"   (2 discrete "????") and

proudly proclaim them to be :- " (

1)   OUT(2 (MALE))

2)   OUT(2 (FEMALE))

and understand these (2 discrete "????") as understanding   :- (

1)   4 ("bidden" 2 ("????"))   By   "????"   understanding

2)   4 ("bidden" 2 ("????"))   By   "????"   conceiving

) -:

)" -:

Note :-   (2 discrete "????") realize
             (4 discrete "????") understood as (2 discrete "????")

These :-   (2 discrete "????") <u>realize</u>
             (4 discrete "????") <u>understood</u> as (MALE & FEMALE)

The ("four discrete fundamental long") :- "(

1)   "????"   "<u>realizing</u>" -

          :-   (2 "permit" 2)   or

          :-   OUT(2 (FEMALE))   or

          :-   4 ("<u>bidden</u>" 2 ("<u>insist</u>" 2 ("????")))

2)   "????"   "<u>realizing</u>" -

          :-   (4 "bidden" 2)   or

          :-   OUT(2 (MALE))   or

          :-   4 ("<u>bidden</u>" 2 ("<u>insist</u>" 2 ("????")))

3)   OUT(2 (MALE))   "<u>realizing</u>" -

          :-   (4 "bidden" 2 <u>only</u>)   or

          :-   OUT   or

          :-   4 ("bidden" 2 ("insist" 2 (2 discrete "????")))

4)   OUT(2 (FEMALE))   "<u>realizing</u>" -

          :-   (2 "permit" <u>only</u> 2)   or

          :-   OUT   or

          :-   4 ("bidden" 2 ("insist" 2 (2 discrete "????")))   )" -:

I "<u>understand</u>" the ("four discrete fundamental long") as "<u>understanding</u>" :-

(A discrete "????") is a "<u>consequence</u>" of (A discrete "????")

    being "*completely understood*" by :-

        OUT(2 (FEMALE)) & OUT(2 (MALE))

(2 discrete "????") is a "<u>consequence</u>" of (A discrete "????")

    being "*completely understood*" by :-

        OUT(2 (FEMALE)) & OUT(2 (MALE)) & Jenny

(A discrete "????")

    "is"   "<u>logically connected</u>"   with   (2 discrete "????")

    by :- "these"   (2 discrete "????")

    "being"   "<u>understood</u>"
    "as"   "<u>understanding</u>"
    "that"   "<u>understands</u>"

          (2 "<u>permit</u>" **only** 2)

        4 ("<u>bidden</u>" 2 ("<u>insist</u>" 2 (2 discrete "????")))

        (4 "<u>bidden</u>" 2 **only**)

by :- Jenny**.**

(A discrete "????") is "<u>logically connected</u>" with (2 discrete "????")

(A discrete "????") is :-

               "<u>information</u>" that represents the

               "<u>life experience</u>" of these (2 discrete "????") as a

               "<u>consolidated memory</u>"

               made by Jenny "<u>completely understanding</u>" them.

A "<u>life experience</u>" as A "<u>consolidated memory</u>" is :-

    A finite set containing :-

        A countable number of "<u>connection</u>" between 2 "discrete entity".

Note :-   There exists only 2 class of "<u>connection</u>".

        1)   "discrete entity" conceives of "discrete entity" as "????"
        2)   "discrete entity" understands "discrete entity" as "????"

    :-   integers are clearly "discrete entity"
           although 1&2 … are clearly not (2 discrete "????")
           "????" introduces the concepts ("unit"), (square), (cube), …

The   "<u>consolidated memory</u>" is formed by :-

Jenny "<u>justly reducing</u>"   the countable number of "<u>connection</u>" to the form :-

            (2 "<u>permit</u>" **only** 2)

            4 ("<u>bidden</u>" 2 ("<u>insist</u>" 2 (2 discrete "????")))

            (4 "<u>bidden</u>" 2 **only**)

(MIND **is** "permitted" 2 **only**) ("insist" **in** (the "four discrete fundamental long"))).

(MIND **is** "forbidden" **only** 2) ("insist" **in** (the "four discrete fundamental long"))).

Note :-   I have reversed the **only** compared with the correct gender usage.
            This indicates that my inquiry has now become anal.
            Unfortunately, Physics is now only the study of **excrement**.
            Hence, I am prepared to continue.

The UNIT **of** (the "four discrete fundamental long") :- "(

1)   "????"   "realizing"   OUT(2 (FEMALE))

2)   "????"   "realizing"   OUT(2 (MALE))

3)   OUT(2 (MALE))   "realizing"   OUT

4)   OUT(2 (FEMALE))   "realizing"   OUT

1) & 2)   (Time   per   Distance)

3) & 4)   ("????"   per   (square) Distance)

)"-:

Observations

- To be a "discrete entity" in effect implies your Mind
  exists in "absolute isolation".

- Our Mind "expresses" itself in reality through a
  "machine" that echoes the reflection of our thoughts.

- When all "machines" are considered together as one "machine",
  "everything" is just the "expression" of
  "The Mind in absolute isolation".

- "Information" "known" to "The Mind in absolute isolation" is
  "expressed" in reality by the creation of a set of "rules"
  and/or "discrete entities".

- For a discrete packet of "information" to exist we need
  there to exist 2 discrete entity. All discrete packets
  of "information" are in reality the "description" of the
  relationship between 2 discrete entity.

- There exist three "fundamental" discrete packets of "information"
  and they are expressed in "ENGLISH" by the terms
  "permit", "bidden" and "insist".

- The "information" understood by "The Mind in absolute isolation"
  when he understands "the existence of discrete integers" is
  best understood to be the "consequence" of the relationship between
  any two discrete entities that he can conceive of.

- The "information" involved in the "understanding" of
  "countably infinite" is expressed in reality by
  the "second" most "fundamental" "rule" of creation.

- "????" is my representation of any arbitrary
  discrete packet of "information".

- "????" may not be "able" to be "understood" by
  "The Mind in absolute isolation".

- Mind is "logically constrained 2".
  (2 discrete "????") is only one "concept" inside your Mind.
  (2 discrete "????") is (4 discrete "????") outside your Mind.

- The "RATE" that "The Mind in absolute isolation" "realizes"
  new "information" corresponds to the "RATE" of "TIME".

- The terms "discrete" and "absolute isolation" are really just
  "BULLSHIT" when one considers the "logical connection" of
  "information".

- There exists a "confusion" and "similarity" between
  my terms "????" and OUT.

- The "Uncertainty Principle", in modern physics, happily allows,
  reality as we know it, to exist when "MOMENTUM" and "POSITION" are
  2 "fundamental" qualities, related only through
  a "rule" involving the "fundamental" constant "h" or
  "Planck's constant".

- I propose, reality as we know it, can happily exist, when one mirrors
  the "Uncertainty Principle", except using "TIME" and "POSITION" as the
  2 "fundamental" qualities, related only through
  a "rule" involving the "fundamental" constant "c" or
  "the speed of light".

- When the "POSITION" of a "discrete entity" does not "vary" with "TIME",
  instead of its uncertainty in "TIME" being considered infinite,
  we consider it's "ENERGY" to be expressed as "MATTER".

- In our Universe, the "fundamental" qualities "POSITION" and "TIME"
  "initially" did not "vary" with respect to each other
  for all "discrete entity" in it.

- This "initial" "condition" gives additional support for my proposition
  to use "POSITION" and "TIME" when
  mirroring the "Uncertainty Principle".

- This relationship between "POSITION" and "TIME" is reflected in
  the "fundamental" constant "c" or "the speed of light" and
  suggests that "initially" all "ENERGY" was expressed
  "ELECTRO-MAGNETICALLY" as indicated by
  our detection of microwave background radiation.

- The "UNIT" for the first two of the (4 "discrete fundamental long")
  is (Time per Distance), and all four are suggestive of "ELECTRIC"
  and "MAGNETIC" fields.

The Con-Sequences of Mind   Wednesday, October 26, 2011
=========================

Observations

- "Schrödinger's cat" does not present a paradox. It is absurd
  to consider the cat, both alive and dead, as "all" "information"
  about the cat is "determined" by "The Mind in absolute isolation"
  as he performs his "analysis".

Observations

- "A WAVE :- FUNCTION"

             has

             "**NO RIGHT**" to "CONNECT"

         :- "TIME" and "SPACE".

-     "ONE" :- has

             "**NO RIGHT**" to "CONNECT"

         :- "TIME" and "SPACE"

             through

  "A WAVE :- FUNCTION".

- When "CONSIDERING" :- "FUNCTIONS" of "TIME and SPACE",

                     "**NOW**" is "DETERMINED" by

                     "THE MIND IN ABSOLUTE ISOLATION".

I can only :-}

"conceive" of "discrete entity" that understand "something" I do not.

{-:

"I"

I understand(I understand (   ))

"I can"

I understand(I understand(I can))
(I can) understand((I can) understand)

"I can"

I understand(I understand("I can" as understanding))

((("I can") is not) (4 bidden) (2 exist)

("I can") is ((4 bidden 2) (4 bid)) (4 bidden) (2 exist)

("I can") is ((4 bidden) (2 exist)) (4 bid) (4 bidden) (2 exist))

("I can") is ((4 bidden) (2 exist))

("I can") is   (4 bidden 2)

"I can only"

"I can" understand(
  "I can" understand(
    ("I can" <u>only</u>) understand <u>only</u> as understanding))

(<u>only</u> "I can") ("I can" <u>only</u>)

(<u>only </u>(4 bidden 2)) ((4 bidden 2) <u>only</u>)

"4 bidden 2 <u>only</u> (????)"

"????"

"I can only" understand (2( ))

"I can only conceive"

"???? 4 bidden 2 (<u>only</u> "????")"

"4 bidden 2 <u>only</u> ("????")" "4 bidden <u>only</u> 2 ("????")"

""<u>"????"</u>"" ""<u>"????"</u>""

4 bidden 2 <u>only</u> "????"

4 bidden 2 <u>only</u> understand 2( ) 2( )

4 bidden 2 <u>only</u> understand 2( ) 2( )

4 bidden 2 understand <u>only</u> (I can)

"I can" conceive "I can only understand" :-

<u>REVIEW OF THE "FUNDAMENTALS"</u>

4 discrete "fundamental" (quality)   are able to "exist" in
2 discrete "fundamental" (way).

 "fundamental" (way) :-

1)   ("????")   :-   by "????" conceiving

2)   OUT ("????")   :-   by "????" understanding

"fundamental" (quality) :-

1)   OUT

      :-   "????" can conceive   "????"

2)   OUT ("????")

      :-   "????" can understand   2 ("????")

3)   OUT (2 ("????"))

      :-   "????" can understand   (2 discrete "????")

4)   OUT (4 ("????"))

      :-   "????" can conceive   2 (2 discrete "????")

The "fundamental" (2 discrete "????") :-

      1)   OUT(2 (MALE))

      2)   OUT(2 (FEMALE))

The "fundamental" (2 discrete "????") <u>understand</u> :-

      1)   4 ("bidden" 2 ("????"))   By   "????"   understanding
      2)   4 ("bidden" 2 ("????"))   By   "????"   conceiving

The "fundamental" (2 discrete "????") <u>realize</u> :-

(4 discrete "????") <u>understood</u> as (MALE & FEMALE)

The "fundamental" (4 discrete "????") :-

1) "????"   "<u>realizing</u>" -

    :-   (2 "permit" 2)   or

    :-   OUT(2 (FEMALE))   or

    :-   4 ("<u>bidden</u>" 2 ("<u>insist</u>" 2 ("????")))

2) "????"   "<u>realizing</u>" -

    :-   (4 "bidden" 2)   or

    :-   OUT(2 (MALE))   or

    :-   4 ("<u>bidden</u>" 2 ("<u>insist</u>" 2 ("????")))

3) OUT(2 (MALE))   "<u>realizing</u>" -

    :-   (4 "bidden" 2 <u>only</u>)   or

    :-   OUT   or

    :-   4 ("bidden" 2 ("insist" 2 (2 discrete "????")))

4) OUT(2 (FEMALE))   "<u>realizing</u>" -

    :-   (2 "permit" <u>only</u> 2)   or

    :-   OUT   or

    :-   4 ("bidden" 2 ("insist" 2 (2 discrete "????")))