From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com]

Subject: Friday, 4 September 2015 :- My mental health tribunal hearing !!!! **Date:** Thursday, 3 September 2015 02:39 PM

[deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2014.12.02_EMAIL.pdf"] [deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2014.12.20_LETTER.pdf"] [deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2015.07.17_LETTER.pdf"] [deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2015.07.21_LETTER.pdf"] [deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2015.08.10_LETTER.pdf"] [deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2015.08.24_EMAIL.pdf"] [deleted by Grace Horzitski/HeadOffice/DHS attachment "2015.08.24_EMAIL.pdf"]

<u>Please send to me a written statement of objective reasons for your determination regarding my</u> mental health tribunal hearing to be held this Friday !!!!

MR DAVID CROFTS 23 Brisbane Street Berwick Victoria 3806

DOB: 23/02/1961

Dear Sir/Madam,

Should the MALE @#\$% at Casey Hospital (Who feel their BALLS hang on their IN side (like a FEMALE)) satisfy you with their argument for their @#\$%-ing of me; then please provide me with the details of your **objective analysis** of their @#\$%-ed UP argument !!!!

Either way, I request a written statement of the **objective reasons** for your determination.

Thank you,

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts.

P.S.

http://www.davidcrofts.com.au/my-inspired-documents/my-mental-health-act-2015

From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] **Subject:** FW: Please provide a statement of reasons **Date:** Thursday, 13 August 2015 01:55 PM

https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B8cwToQ5f5a7YjhUSHNRbXVISGc/view?usp=sharing

From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] **Subject:** FW: Please provide a statement of reasons **Date:** Thursday, 13 August 2015 12:04 PM

<u>A good outcome</u> for me; would be that you; <u>**cease simply stating**</u>, in response to the medical profession <u>**stating**</u> **:-** "I @#\$%-ed "<u>HIM</u>" simply because, I @#\$%-ed "<u>IT</u>" indicated !!!!" :- "<u>I am satisfied by your argument</u> !!!!"

<u>A better outcome</u> for me; would be that you; <u>start to state</u>, in response to the medical profession <u>stating</u> :- "I @#\$%-ed "<u>HIM</u>" simply because, I @#\$%-ed "<u>IT</u>" indicated !!!!" :- "<u>Please objectively explain your argument</u> to me !!!!, (as "I simply wanted to" is "<u>NOT</u>" a <u>satisfactory reason</u> !!!!)"

My complaint is that you simply do "NOT" function as "The Faulty Health Professionals Corrections Board" !!!

From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] Subject: FW: Please provide a statement of reasons Date: Thursday, 13 August 2015 02:16 AM

<u>ATTN</u> :-

Ms D Saunders

<u>I believe that you have deliberately refused to admit your true reasons in your statement of reasons to me !!!!</u>

When it comes to "immediate treatment", I told you that I had "admitted-defeat" and "surrendered-to-the-medical-profession" !!!!

I "surrendered" to "their-immediate treatment" but "not-my-hope-that-one-of-them-could-still-seethings-my-way" !!!!

Hence; "under-law"; "no-restriction-at-all"; was in fact; "necessary" !!!!

((((I told you that my treating doctors must explain their decision to triple my medication to my chosen private psychiatrist; ((Dr. Colin McIver); and as he is a man of reason); I believed that the issue of my immediate treatment could happily be agreed between us at my next appointment !!!!))))

((((Please note that your determination here has taken this option from me !!!!))))

From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] Subject: Re: Please provide a statement of reasons Date: Wednesday, 12 August 2015 11:22 PM

I simply am drawing attention to your errors !!!!

How you correct them is your problem !!!!

Sent from my iPad

From: <u>Marketa.Silhar@dhhs.vic.gov.au</u> [<u>mailto:Marketa.Silhar@dhhs.vic.gov.au</u>] On Behalf Of <u>mht@dhhs.vic.gov.au</u> Subject: Re: Please provide a statement of reasons Date: Wednesday, 12 August 2015 04:42 PM

Dear Mr Crofts

Could you please clarify what outcome you are seeking from the Mental Health Tribunal? I need to understand specifically what your complaint is (if any) before I can determine how to respond.

Regards

Marketa Silhar Executive Officer



Mental Health Tribunal

Level 30, 570 Bourke St, Melbourne Victoria 3000 Australia **T** +61 3 9032 3200 **F** +61 3 9032 3223 **T** 1800 242 703 (Toll-free) **E** mht@mht.vic.gov.au **W** mht.vic.gov.au From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] Subject: Re: Please provide a statement of reasons Date: Tuesday, 11 August 2015 12:11 AM

"Because of DC's mental illness, does he need immediate treatment to prevent serious deterioration in his mental or physical health or serious harm to DC or to another person?"

Subject :-

Emailing: 2015.08.10_LETTER.pdf

<u>ATTN</u> :-

Ms D Saunders

•••

"The Report" **<u>stated</u>** that DC "needed" **immediate treatment** "to prevent" **serious deterioration** in his mental health and "to prevent" **serious harm to other persons**.

The evidence of the treating team was DC **"had caused serious harm to another patient"** and was "at risk of causing" **harm to others on the ward**.

...

Apparently, the aim of this **"immediate treatment"** is simply **"sedation"**; which is, what I somewhat contradictorily; consider to be **"serious deterioration"** !!!!

It is acknowledged that this **"immediate treatment"** could **"not"** be considered **"indicated"** if it had **"not"** first been for Stacie of the "crisis assessment team" feeling it **"necessary"** !!!!

Clearly, Stacie is performing the role of "authorized psychiatrist"; and logically, should be the one providing you with "The Report" !!!!

((((However, she is hiding behind the FOI Act and refuses to engage with me directly when asked to explain herself !!!!))))

...

. . .

The statement of the treating team, DC "had caused serious harm to another patient" is simply "not true" !!!!

From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] Subject: FW: "THE INSERTION OF THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT TEAM" Date: Saturday, 1 August 2015 04:25 PM

Question :- How can it be "not" reasonable to disclose to "me" the details of an intrusion that "you" claim as reasonable ????

Answer :- Only when "you-feel-that-I" would respond un-reasonably !!!!

Let "me" assure "you"; "every-one" responds reasonably, when able to understand the logic of an argument !!!!

<u>"Your" refusal to grant "my" FOI request effectively means that "you-cannot-make-your" argument for</u> CAT insertion in a way that "you-believe-I" am able to understand !!!!

Let "me" assure "you"; if "you" could make an argument that "I" could understand, there would be "no-problem" !!!!

"I" claim that "Monash Health" is the one with the logical dis-ability !!!!

Please validate the logic of Monash Health's argument for CAT insertion !!!!

P.S.

Re :- Stated "mum and Dr McIvor (PP) can go fuck themselves."

This may well be a logical conclusion that Stacie can draw from our exchange, and hence "effectively-what-I-said-toher", but to claim that I explicitly said it "is-just-a-bare-faced-lie" !!!! As Stacie said, "I refused to engage" !!!! ((((Anyway, just what is the "specific" crime here !!!!)))) Stacie also said I terminated the telephone call. According to my recollection, we both agreed to hang up as the exchange had reached a conclusion, and both parties hung up feeling happy !!!!

If only my mum had "fucked" with Dr McIvor, over the telephone, ((((instead of Stacie)))), I would happily have gone in to see him for an emergency appointment, and I believe I would have had; "no-problem", re-assuring him; that there was, in fact; "no-problem" !!!!

P.P.S

Re :- "Client refusing to engage, abusive to clinician." 2015.05.13 02:57 PM "Call to client. Not happy to receive call."

The call in question was actually "happily-initiated" by me and I "abused" no-one !!!! Please note; it was me who "engaged" Stacie; in response to a message that she left in my message bank !!!! What ever happened to the log entry for this original call ????, ((((Her original call went to my message bank because I was currently talking to my friend Brian on the telephone at the time.))))

P.P.P.S.

Please let me repeat my FOI request. Please provide "statement detailing the serious concerns regarding my health prior to C.A.T. visit" !!!!

When I consider the provided documents, I suspect that the withheld sections don't, in fact, directly address my request anyway, and have only been obscured to cover the arse of those whose actions cannot stand up to the logical rigors of public scrutiny !!!! Please note; the reply provided by Monash Health, may in no way be considered a response to my request for the "details" of the serious concerns regarding my health. The closest they come to "addressing-the-point" is when they "simply-confirm" that they had "serious-concerns" !!!! ((((.... clinician "believes" client to be mentally unwell)))) As Monash Health actually admitted in my admissions of February and April 2013, that my requested details outlining the serious concerns of the CAT were never documented, I consider their reply here nothing more than a simple attempt at bamboozlement !!!!

From: David Crofts [mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com] Subject: FW: "THE INSERTION OF THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT TEAM" Date: Saturday, 11 July 2015 05:14 PM

The serious offender here is actually Stacie and not the wallet groping policewoman !!!!

Just as it is "not" acceptable to @#\$% with one's own mother; I similarly find it "not" acceptable to be @#\$%-ed by Stacie !!!!

She's just some @#\$%-ed up nurse the @#\$%'s have allowed to do the job of an "authorized" psychiatrist !!!!

In reality, she doesn't have a pair, so she should have no right to insert anything in to anyone !!!!

From: David Crofts <<u>david.crofts@gmail.com</u>> Subject: "THE INSERTION OF THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT TEAM" Date: Sat, 11 Jul 2015 12:34:45 +1000

RE: WHY DID YOU BELIEVE "THE INSERTION OF THE CRISIS ASSESSMENT TEAM" TO BE INDICATED STACIE ????

Dear Stacie,

Please provide an explicit response and this time please refrain from giving to me your preferred response of crisis assessment team insertion.

I consider it simply a refusal to comply with the legal obligations of your office to be told to be satisfied with making a FOI request.

Sincerely,

David Crofts.

This email contains confidential information intended only for the person named above and may be subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying or use of this information is prohibited. The Department provides no guarantee that this communication is free of virus or that it has not been intercepted or interfered with. If you have received this email in error or have any other concerns regarding its transmission, please notify <u>Postmaster@dhs.vic.gov.au</u>